





DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS

FOR PRESIDENT.

JAMES BUCHANAN, OF PENNSYLVANIA.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT.

JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE, OF KENTUCKY.

Electoral Ticket:

For the State at large—HON. ELIJAH HISE, and COL. JOHN W. STEVENSON.

First District—COL. R. D. GHOLSON.

Second District—GEORGE W. WILLIAMS.

Third District—JOHN A. FINN.

Fourth District—TIMOTHY GRAYSON.

Fifth District—BENJAMIN M. COFFIN.

Sixth District—B. F. RICE.

Seventh District—WM. D. REED.

Eighth District—R. W. WOOLLEY.

Ninth District—H. H. KELSEY.

Tenth District—H. H. KELSEY.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1856.

The deplorable state of affairs in California is familiar to everybody in both hemispheres.

We do not doubt that even the savages in the wilds of the other continents have now turned up their ugly noses at the record of the freemen of California.

For the last few months, the usurpation of the Vigilance Committee, with the record of its deeds of lawlessness and blood, unparalleled in the history of the world, has been the eyesore of the Pacific.

It has ruined San Francisco, disgraced California, and furnished the name of the nation.

With what grace does this come from the mob organ of Louisville, on which rests chiefly the responsibility of the deeds of bloody murder—deeds which have made our city a byword and a reproach throughout the continent.

The Vigilance Committee on the Pacific, the editor says, "with the record of its deeds of lawlessness and blood, has been the eyesore of the Pacific."

Has the record of its deeds of lawlessness and blood, has been the eyesore of the Pacific—has ruined San Francisco, disgraced California, and furnished the name of the nation?

True enough. And how much better have the Know-Nothings of Louisville and their mob-instigator and apologist of the Journal done here? It is a "monstrous evil," the editor thinks, for a Vigilance Committee in San Francisco to disregard law and justice, and kill, and hang and drive people from their homes.

But the same can be done in this city by Know-Nothing mobs, gotten up and set on by the Journal editor, and it is all right, and it is to be repeated.

The San Francisco Vigilante are abominable scoundrels and cut throats for violating the laws and shedding the blood of their fellow-men by wholesale.

But the editor and his gangs of murderous incendiaries are estimable Americans forsooth! He doesn't care "how high the infernal may be piled" on the San Francisco Vigilants, but thinks it outrageous that his average cohorts of the Sixth of August, 1856, should be censured for their gory work.

It is unparagonable that the infamous San Francisco Vigilants should strike down the good name and prosperity of San Francisco, but the editor of the Journal and his gangs of bullies and brutes ought to be commended for doing the same for the name and prosperity of Louisville.

The editor charges that the administration has been shamefully divided in its duty in not bringing the San Francisco murders and mob gentry to justice and condign punishment—charges the President with "willfully sacrificing the peace of California and the honor of the country for the sake of promoting the desperate chances of Mr. Buchanan," and says, "desperate indeed must be the chances that demand such a sacrifice, and desecrate the man that makes it, and the party that applauds it."

But this virtuous peace-loving, christian-like mob-editor and mob-advocate of the Journal, grows indignant when told of his own desperation and that of his party here, in resorting to bloodshed and lawlessness, fire and sword, in utter contempt of law and humanity, for the mere purpose of securing the triumph of a party whose policy is to destroy the Union as we ever our glorious old fathers were to establish it.

And, if he succeed in electing their candidate, we believe in our hearts that the Union will be the most terrible disaster.

Who can doubt that the thousands of patriotic Democrats in the South will abandon the Buchanan party when they find, as inevitably they must if they have their eyes open, that all its active leaders in the South are violent disunionists—Louisville Journal.

A more pestilential falsehood than the above, that throughout the entire South "the leaders of the Buchanan party are disunionists," never emanated from the reeling fountain of corruption from which this springs, and that the severest denunciation we know how to bestow upon it) and none was ever uttered so wantonly or so utterly unsubstantiated by truth.

It is foul invention of a malignant abolition brain, that seems to find relief in assailing every Southern man who is struggling to "crush out" its dearest hope of abolishing not only Kentucky, but the whole South.

OF SOME OF THE MORE UNSCRUPULOUS Sag-Nicht organs are circulating the fabrication that the American party aided in the defeat of the Army appropriation. They know that the statement is false. As members of the American party, we are to the highest extent identified with the abolition movement.

Millard Fillmore embodies the sentiment of our party, and if he is not true we would like to know where upon the face of the earth a sound man can be found.

Somerset Gazette.

The meanness and mendacity of Know-Nothingism, remarkable as it is, has but few organs, orators or editors who would be willing to stand up to the back of hard lying, "fodder or no fodder," like this Somerset man does. Was not Mr. Dunn, of Indiana, the father of that treason and he not a Fillmore elector, and a member of the "American party, of that State? Did he not boldly declare that he would "take the responsibility of stopping the wheels of government."

Can this man of "Somerset."

A DEARER FRIEND.—On Tuesday night, one of the Sag-Nicht electors, quite a good young man, was speaking at the corner of Main and Thirtieth.

In the midst of his speech a small boy fired a pistol into a highland about a hundred and fifty yards from the stand. The boy fired in that way to make a devil of a noise to be sure.

The Sag-Nicht orator stopped short, exclaiming, "Is there danger, my friends? Is there danger?" and then hurried down from the stand.—Louisville Journal.

This "good young man" might have been scared, he doubts, had good cause to fear the assassin spirit of Know-Nothingism, but he never has been, can, or ever will be, so badly frightened as President was when he ran off to Middletown and got drunk to keep a Louisville mob from hanging him.

Hon. Linu Boyd, Governor Andrew Johnson, of Tennessee, and ex-Governor Powell, are to speak at Hopkinsville, Kentucky, on the 22d.

The Cincinnati Columbian departed this on Friday last.

WE PUBLISH THIS MORNING THE RESOLUTIONS

passed by the Know-Nothing Whig Convention at Baltimore.

We thus name them, because we have no doubt that every member of the Convention is either a sworn member of the secret order, or has been a consistent and persistent sympathizer with them and voter of their ticket for the last two years.

Sag-Nicht we know to be the fact with the Whig Convention at Kentucky.

As a matter of course they nominated Fillmore and Donelson. Nothing else was to be expected of such a body.

And nothing better was to be expected of them than that they would calumniate and slander the Democratic party and Mr. Pierce's administration.

Nor will Democrats be established to learn that these sanctimonious hypocrites have published and sent forth in their resolutions an unflinching and impudent falsehood, when they assert that the Democratic party is "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

But let Southern men read it, and they will find that the Democratic party here are making "appealing mainly to the passions and prejudices of the Southern States."

The declaration is known to be a lie from Maine to Texas. There is not a Democratic in any of the free States who does not know it to be utterly false; and there is not a Know-Nothing paper in the South but has a hundred times published articles which prove the statement a lie—for they have constantly been attempting to prove Mr. Buchanan to be an Abolitionist.

The declaration is disgraceful to the Southern delegates who took part in it, for they knew that in passing such a resolution they were pandering to the Free Soil sentiment of the North.

A COMPARISON.

The annexed table shows the results of the August and September elections of the present year, compared with the results in the same States at the Presidential election of 1852.

At the Presidential election of 1852, when Pierce, the Democratic candidate, walked over the course.

Aug. and Sept. 1856.	Nov. 1852.
Pres. Electors.	Pres. Electors.
Free Soil, 10,000	Free Soil, 10,000
Dem., 10,000	Dem., 10,000
Whig, 10,000	Whig, 10,000
Know-Nothing, 10,000	Know-Nothing, 10,000
Other, 10,000	Other, 10,000

Opp. majority vote, 2,400 (Pres. Elect. 52, 560).

At the aggregate of the point, Free States stand about as follows for the Democrat now as they did at the last Presidential election. They then gave 21 electoral votes for Pierce in 1852.

Should they vote at the Presidential election next November as at the recent elections, they would give Buchanan 30 votes and Fremont 17 votes; the Democratic majority being the same (13) in each case. Let us show this detail.

Free States.	Free States.	Free States.
Arkansas, 1	California, 1	Colorado, 1
Connecticut, 1	Delaware, 1	Florida, 1
Illinois, 1	Indiana, 1	Iowa, 1
Kentucky, 1	Maine, 1	Massachusetts, 1
Michigan, 1	Minnesota, 1	Missouri, 1
Montana, 1	Nebraska, 1	Nevada, 1
New Hampshire, 1	New Jersey, 1	New Mexico, 1
New York, 1	North Carolina, 1	Ohio, 1
Oregon, 1	Rhode Island, 1	South Carolina, 1
Texas, 1	Vermont, 1	Virginia, 1
Washington, 1	West Virginia, 1	Wisconsin, 1
Wyoming, 1	Yukon, 1	Zachary, 1

Dem. maj. vote, 11 (Pres. Elect. 52, 560).

If the Republicans derive any consolation from these results, we hope they will make the most of them.

But let them succeed better in the other 24 States, it is certain that they are destined to an ignominious defeat; for in 1852, only four States voted against Pierce, viz. Vermont, Massachusetts, Kentucky and California.

No other elections take place prior to November, except the following, viz.

Florida, 6.	October 6.
Ohio, 10.	October 14.
Indiana, 10.	October 14.
Illinois, 10.	October 14.
Michigan, 10.	October 14.
Minnesota, 10.	October 14.
Missouri, 10.	October 14.
Montana, 10.	October 14.
Nebraska, 10.	October 14.
Nevada, 10.	October 14.
New Hampshire, 10.	October 14.
New Jersey, 10.	October 14.
New Mexico, 10.	October 14.
New York, 10.	October 14.
Oregon, 10.	October 14.
Rhode Island, 10.	October 14.
South Carolina, 10.	October 14.
Texas, 10.	October 14.
Vermont, 10.	October 14.
Virginia, 10.	October 14.







